UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,233	02/22/2006	Adolf Bernds	411000-148	7819
27162 7590 05/11/2009 CARELLA, BYRNE, BAIN, GILFILLAN, CECCHI, STEWART & OLSTEIN			EXAMINER	
			LIPMAN, BERNARD	
5 BECKER FARM ROAD ROSELAND, NJ 07068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/569,233	BERNDS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bernard Lipman	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 13-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8,9,12,19 and 20 is/ar 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,11 and 13-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	re withdrawn from consideration.				
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2009 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/22/06 & 6/5/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/569,233 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Claims 1-7, 11 and 13-18 in the reply filed on 30 April 2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the term "defines a contribution over the prior art" means that the subject matter is novel and inventive. No art is cited so that so called single concept comprises the claim 1 mixture and meets the requirements of Rule 13.1. This is not found persuasive because the art presented below precludes the claim 1 mixture from being the "special technical feature".

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 11, 13, 14, and 16-18e rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wang et al, "Conducting Polymer Blends: Polythiophene and Polypyrrole Blends with Polystyrene and Poly(bisphenol A carbonate)", Macromolecules, 1990, Vol. 23, pages 1053 1059, or Under 35 USC 103 as obvious there over.

Reference to Wang et al specifically teaches the required blend of polymers, and also teaches the blend as a viable alternative to other known semiconductor materials. This can be seen clearly in the conclusion where the reference states "Since there are only a limited number of conducting polymers, the above information is encouraging and suggests that polymer blends may continue to offer a route to improve physical

Application/Control Number: 10/569,233 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

properties in conducting polymers." The requirement for "an electronic component" is either met by the electrode taught in the reference or rendered prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since the Examiner takes official notice that the use of semiconductor polymers in electronic components of all kinds is well known.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al as cited above in view of Gunner et al, U. S. Patent Published Application 2005/0196969.

The polymer blends required are met as discussed above. The difference between the teaching of Wang et al and the claims is the requirement for solvent.

Reference to Gunner et al teaches the incorporation of its semiconductor polymer blends in solvent and use thereof for electronic devices. Choice of solvent would be an obvious matter of choice to one of ordinary skill in the art. The generic teaching of Wang et al that the blends taught are interchangeable with other semiconductive polymers renders prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the use of solution methods for creating electronic devices from semiconductive polymers as taught by Gunner et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-1105. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bernard Lipman/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

BL/hs